

Egorin A.Z. Viyna za myr na Blizkomu Shodi. M., 1995. [War for peace in the Middle East]. [in Russian]

9. Ісаєв Л.М., Коротаєв А.В., Шишкіна А. Р. Фактори арабської весни 2011 року // арабський світ після арабської весни /відп. ред. А.В. Коротаєв, Л.М. Ісаєв, А. Р. Шишкіна. М.: ЛЕНАНД, 2013

Isaev L.M., Korotaev A.V., Shishkina A.R. Faktory arabskoi vesny 2011 roku // arabskyi svit pislya arabskoi vesny. LENAND, 2013 [Factors of the Arab Spring 2011 // the Arab world after the Arab Spring] [in Russian]

10.Корольков Л. Мінлива геометрія близькосхідних розкладів // міжнародні процеси. 2015. Том 13, No1. С. 97-106. IT.2015.13.40.8.

Korolyov L. Minlyva geometriya blyzhkoshidnyh rozkladiv // mizhnarodni prozesy. 2015 [Variable geometry of Middle Eastern layouts // international processes]

11. Мелкумян Є.С. РСАДПЗ в глобальних і регіональних процесах., 1999. 197 стор. ISBN-5-89394-032-6 [in Russian]

Melkumyan E.S. RSADPZ v globalnyh I regionalnyh prozesah., 1999. [GCC in global and regional processes]. Retrieved from: <http://www.newizv.ru/world/2015-03-30/217306-blizhnevostochnoe-nato.html>

12. Calleo D. The Broken West // Survival. Autumn 2004. Vol. 46, No 3. P. 29-32.

13.Caplan G. Transatlantic Relations and the Middle East: Partnership or Rivalry? // The Transatlantic Institute of the American Jewish Committee. April/May 2004.

14. Daalder I. The End of Atlanticism // Survival. Summer 2003. Vol. 45, No 2. P. 147-166.

15. Kupchan C. The Alliance Lies in the Rubble // Financial Times. April 4, 2003.

Diana Soldatova

*Vasyl Stus' Donetsk National University,
Vinnytsia*

*Research Supervisor: M. I. Prykhnenko, Candidate of Political Science,
Senior Lecturer*

Language Advisor: L. F. Lozynska, Ph.D. in Pedagogy, Assoc. Prof.

TRANSFORMATION OF SPECIAL RELATIONS BETWEEN THE USA AND THE UNITED KINGDOM AT THE PRESENT STAGE

Introduction. "Special relations" are political, diplomatic, cultural, economic, military, and historical relations between the United Kingdom and the United States of America, as well as between their leaders.

The current stage of this relationship can be considered as "love hatred" because they are characterized by strong love and rivalry at the same time [1].

The objective of this paper. "Special relations" go beyond politics and extend to general ones Ideology both in the governmental circle and among citizens of these two states. In addition to the general ideological "special relations", the peculiarities of the relations between the British prime ministers and the presidents of the United States were also formed. The closer a person belongs to the two main states, the closer the connection. The counterweight is also correct [5]. This special kind of friendship is going through many trials to date and continues to thrive even under the greatest pressure. "Special relations" are always reviewed with the balance of power, but they are still in the midst of many problems because of the general appearance of both states [2]. There is an integral level of staff between the US and the UK on a wide range of issues, such as military planning and operations, economic support, and data collection, who has to meet the level of detail, who has the nation with other partners. The United States has never succeeded in isolating national policies and must work closely on global security with an ally such as the United Kingdom [3].

Over the next three to five years, the United States will need a more reliable and resilient partner to address global and regional challenges that the United States may not be able to meet because of geography, competing with national security priorities and assets. An analysis of the partnership between the United States and the United Kingdom shows that "... special relations should be classified as a temporary institution determined by the current global balance of power." This means that the priority options of convenience, as well as the total price, will not always be collected in full. But this difference also means that the United States must create Britain as a security partner. With the emphasis shifting to Asia and the emergence of China, the United States needs a strong partner to protect European issues. NATO will focus primarily on Europe, while the United States is a Pacific nation [4].

The United States should determine not only when it uses national power, but also how and why, or more precisely, to what extent. This is an agreement with the world leader of both countries. Former US President Donald Trump noted that global threats such as economic security, border control, and terrorism are made by the US-UK alliance as needed for other countries. The British leadership stated that "our strong relations with the United States in the fields of defense, security and intelligence, except our neighbors, are central to our national interests." This means that, given that the United States is on average in the Pacific, they can explore that Britain will be the backbone of the European security on which the United States depends.

Conclusion. The special relationship between the United States and Britain is based not only on necessity, or on common security goals. It is based on common political principles and a common history and ideals. This makes the relationship lasting, which can withstand differences and change priorities because it is not a short-lived marriage of convenience, but a deep intimacy that serves as a cornerstone of their individual and common security. The common interests of the United States and Britain could face serious challenges as the United States begins to pay more

attention to the Pacific, and the United Kingdom is forced to address current issues such as the Syrian refugee crisis and a bold, aggressive Russia.

However, as the common history of the last century has shown, Britain will remain as important to US security as the United States is important to the United Kingdom.

References

1. Ben Wallace. UK Must Be Prepared to Fight Wars Without US. BBC News, January 12, 2020.
 2. Simon Carswell. Pelosi Warns No USUK Trade Deal if Belfast Agreement Weakened by Brexit. Irish Times, April 15, 2019.
 3. Senator Chuck Grassley. Grassley Joins Colleagues in Letter Pledging to Back Britain After Brexit. Press release, August 6, 2019.
 4. U.S. Department of State. Announcement of Mick Mulvaney as Special Envoy to Northern Ireland. Press statement, March 11, 2020.
 5. Valerie Insinna. US Officials Threaten Retribution for European Union's Restrictions on Defense Fund. Defense News, June 19, 2019.
- Aaron Mehta. The US Cautiously Watching EU Military Proposal. Defense News, February 13, 2018.

Alona Beldii

Vasyl' Stus Donetsk National University

Vinnytsia

Research Supervisor: I.Yu. Charskykh, PhD in History, Assoc. Prof.

Language Advisor: M.V. Kondratyuk, PhD in Philology, Senior Lecturer

CULTURAL FACTOR OF UKRAINE-EU COOPERATION

Introduction. The EU implements many cultural programs in Ukraine. Cultural cooperation between Ukraine and the EU promotes the exchange of artworks and supports artists mobility.

Review of recent publications. Many experts wrote on problems of cultural cooperation between Ukraine and the European Union. For example, O. Domanskyi drew attention to the state's priorities and emphasized the significance of Ukrainian active participation in European and international cultural events [1]. S. Pahlov explored the European influence on Ukrainian cultural policy while V. Movchan and M. Emerson conducted an in-depth analysis of EU-Ukraine relations [2].

The objective of the paper is to clarify the framework of the current cultural interaction between Ukraine and the EU and define it as a promising sphere of convergence.