corpora has reached great achievements, but there are also tasks, which have to be studied and solved in order to open more opportunities.

References

1. Nesselhauf N. Learner Corpora and their Potential for Language Teaching / N. Nesselhauf, J. Sinclair // How to Use Corpora in Language Teaching / N. Nesselhauf, J. Sinclair. – Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins, 2004. – P. 125–152.

2. Davies A. The Handbook of Applied Linguistics. / A. Davies, C. Elder. – Wiley: Language Arts & Disciplines, 2006. – 866 p.

3. Types of Text Corpora [Electronic resource]. – Retrieved from: https://www.sketchengine.co.uk/user-guide/user-manual/corpora/corpus-types/

Yevhenia Yumadilova
Vasyl' Stus Donetsk National University
Vinnytsia
Research Supervisor: L.M. Koval, Doc. of Philology, Full.Prof.
Language Striuk: Yu. Ya. Khankishyieva, Lecturer

CHARACTERISTICITY OF MEN'S NAME IN THE STORAGE OF STEPANIVKA OF THE SHAKHTAR DISTRICT OF DONETSK REGION

Introduction. For a long time proper names have attracted and still continue to attract the attention of linguists. Nowadays, the most thoroughly researched area is surnames, which has been examined by Yu. K. Redka, O. D. Nedilka, I. D. Sukhomlyn, V. D. Poznanska, G. Ye. Buchko, etc. Also, quite deeply this area is learnt by O. V. Antoniuk, Yu. O. Nalyvaychenko, N. M. Brener, M. V. Tyminsky. Personal names of people have also repeatedly been the object of specific studies. Particularly it was researched on the material of historical monuments studied by R. Y. Kerst, M. I. Seniv, P. I. Ostash, M. O. Demchuk, M. L. Hudash, I. M. Zheleznyak, L. L. Humetska; modern personal names of Ukrainians are studied by L. O. Belei, I. D. Sukhomlyn, T. V. Bug, L. O. Kravchenko, P. P. Chuchka, L. T. Masenko, etc. Despite the numerous profound researches of Ukrainian onomasts, many anthroponymy problems remain open. Among them is the problem of anthroponymy creation of separate populated settlements.

That is, **the purpose of this paper** is to describe an anthroponymy portrait of the village Stepanivka of Shahtarskiy district of Donetsk region.

Common feature to the whole Ukraine is that name's system has its own peculiarities in certain territories. It depends on the situation of the speech; the names can acquire a variety of modifications. Except official and full documentary homonymies, the interviewers fix the different colloquial everyday life variants (abbreviation or truncated, chopped-caressing and rude-disparaging).

Almost every man's name has several application variants in the dialect of the village Stepanivka. A number of them are different in several ways.

Quantitatively the presentation forms traditional village names *Alexander, Volodymyr, Ivan.* For example, the name *Alexander* has thirty-one variants: *Olexander Alyexander, Sasha, Sashka, Sashko, Sashyk, Sashunya, Sashunka, Sashunichka, , Sashulya, Sashulka, Sashulichka, Sanya, Sanka, Sanichka, Sanchyk, Senyok, Sanyochok, Sanych, Syasya, Shura, Shurok, Shuryk, Shurik, Shurochka, Shurka, Shurko, Les, Sasha! Sash! San! Shur!*

The least variants are identified in borrowed and old names, the functional use of which is low nowadays (*Nazar* – 3, *Moisei* – 1).

Anthroponymy of the researched village has variants of men's names, formed under the influence of various phonetic and morphological phenomena. These often occur as a result of:

the alternation of vowels: *Artem - Artyom, Vadym – Vadim, Valentyn – Valyentin, Ivan -Yivan, Maxym – Maxim, Oleg – Olyeg, Peter – Pyotr;*

the alternation of consonants: *Fedir – Hvedor, Ĩhor – Ĩhor;*

the reduction of the beginning or ending of the name (sometimes the start and end parts): *Vasyl – Vasyliy, Mykola –Nikolay.*

Except common fund of name's variants that are shared by all the representatives of the nation, the village Stepanivka recorded original spoken-home variants that have emotional colouring. It is basically chopped-caressing and rude-disparaging names, example: *Ihorochok, Sashunchyk, Vasyunko, Vitasyk, Maxymymchko, Artemechko, Pavlusko, Danusyk, Arturonko.* Characteristically, most of these anthroponyms exist only in the family circle. They are used most often addressing to children or younger people.

Another feature of expressively stained homonyms that have an ironic, humorous, sometimes contemptuous meaning is that they are used as nicknames, e.g.: *Vadymchyk, Tusyk, Marusyna, Katrusya* etc.

Conclusion. Therefore, the noun of the village Stepanivka of Shahtarskiy district of the Donetsk region as part of a nationwide Ukrainian anthroponymy formed from the names of the common fund, for which all the representatives of the nation, and of the original nominal variants that are prevalent only in the territory of the village. Latest submitted names that have in their structure suffixes with chopped-caressing and rude-disparaging affectionate or derogatory meaning, and arise owing expressive official names.

References

1. Белей Л. Українські імена колись і тепер / Л. Белей. – К.: Темпора, 2010. – 126 с.

Belei L. Ukrainski imena kolys i teper [Ukrainian Names of the Past and Present] / L. Belei. – K.: Tempora, 2010. – 126 s. [in Ukrainian]

2. Буга Т. В. Динаміка особових імен Центральної Донеччини (кінець XIX – початок XXI ст.): монографія / Т. В. Буга. – Донецьк: ДонНУ, 2013. – 289 с.

Buha T. V. Dynamika osobovykh imen Tsentralnoi Donechchyny (kinets 19 – pochatok 21 st.) [Dynamics of Proper Names of Central Donetsk Region (End of the 19th c. – Beginning of the 21st c.] : monohrafiia / T. V. Buha. – Donetsk: DonNU, 2013. – 289 s. [in Ukrainian]

3. Кравченко Л. О. Українська ономастика: антропоніміка: навч. посібник / Л. О. Кравченко – К.: Знання, 2014. – 239 с.

Kravchenko L. O. Ukrainska onomastyka: antroponimika [Ukrainian Onomastics: Anthroponymics] / L. O. Kravchenko – K.: Znannia, 2014. – 239 s. [in Ukrainian]

4. Масенко Л. Т. Українські імена та прізвища / Л. Т. Масенко. – К.: Т-во «Знання» УРСР, 1990. – 48 с.

Masenko L. T. Ukrainski imena ta prizvyshcha [Ukrainian Names and Surnames] / L. T. Masenko. – K.: T-vo «Znannia» URSR, 1990. – 48 s. [in Ukrainian]

5. Познанська В. Д. Антропонімія Донеччини: навч. посібник / В. Д. Познанська. – Донецьк: ДонНУ, 2001. – 147 с.

Poznanska V. D. Antroponimiia Donechchyny: navch. posibnyk [Donetsk Region Anthroponymy] / V. D. Poznanska. – Donetsk: DonNU, 2001. – 147 s. [in Ukrainian]

6. Скрипник Л. Г. Власні імена людей: словник-довідник / Л. Г. Скрипник, Н. П. Дзятківська. – К.: Наук. думка, 1996. – 336 с.

Skrypnyk L. H. Vlasni imena liudei: slovnyk-dovidnyk [Humans' Proper Names: Handbook Dictionary] / L. H. Skrypnyk, N. P. Dziatkivska. – K.: Nauk. dumka, 1996. – 336 s. [in Ukrainian]

7. Чучка П. П. Антропонимия Закарпаття / П. П. Чучка. – Ужгород, 2008. – 672 с.

Chuchka P. P. Antroponymyia Zakarpattia [Transcarpathian Anthroponymy] / P. P. Chuchka. – Uzhhorod, 2008. – 672 s. [in Ukrainian]

8. Чучка П. П. Слов'янські особові імена українців: історико-етимологічний словник / П. Чучка. – Ужгород: Ліра, 2011. – 432 с.

Chuchka P. P. Slov'ianski osobovi imena ukraintsiv: istoryko-etymolohichnyi slovnyk [Slav Proper Names of Ukrainians: Historical and Etymological Dictionary] / P. Chuchka. – Uzhhorod: Lira, 2011. – 432 s. [in Ukrainian]